App. F IX-4

193 5.
(Lease)

File No. 13-35-

Serial Nos. 27(a), 28

Previous References
Left Del 1.025-33
Lease 26-34 (1-2)

Later References 145-33 (1-3)
Lease 61-36 (1-4)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos. Three

Part B for August 1936

SUBJECT.

Instructions regarding the entrusting of conduct of Court cases to Advocates other than Govt Leaders

Nop 1009-70076-8-36

Now Govt Advocate
" P. Prosecutor
" Dumraon
" Sasaram
" Headquarters

Passes orders in the matter

N Y Gurgaon
26/8/36

5376/p 35

3378/12

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 13 of 1935 | [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

From,

The Pr. Bench

no. 808/35-36 d 17-2-36 soliciting sanction for payment of a sum of Rs. 1-12-0 said to have been incurred for obtaining a Copy of the Judgt in Connection with Seetharamaraju's appeal.

1) The Rr. may be asked to pay this small amount out of the Contingencies of his office.

TSD 20/2

2) Has any fee been sanctioned to the PPr to conduct the appeal? If so why was not this amount included in the bill?

Rre 20/2

3) No fee has been paid to the PPr/Bench for the work done in the appeal

4) The Rev. Br. may also be Consulted, as to whether they have sanctioned any fee in this Case.

TSD 24/2

Yes Pool 24/2

SECRET 337 M.S.S.

5) Criminal Law 1935-3 & 1-3 is printed. Q. no. 40 & 99 in W3-33-2 of 34 is duly flagged. Do they have any other Circulars about the Duties of Prosecutors in [illegible]

NOTE—Contn Sheet.

WD 03594—GPB—30,000—13-7-35

28/2/36 Rre (Law Secty)

Law Pool 28/2

29/2/36

b) The point raised in para 4 supra is not made clear. Information is required where any fee has been sanctioned to the Addl. Govt. Pleader in Cr. Ap. 11/1935. The Rev. Dept. may be requested to move on this.

TSD 29/2

7) Revenue cannot have this information. Have we not received any bill for fees in this matter from the P.P.? PPP 29/2

This has been answered in para 3 supra.

TSD 29/2

8) Let the P.P. indicate this amount in the bill of fee he has to send for work done in the appeal - Reply accordingly. PPP 2/3

TSD 3/3

9) Dft. put up

Issue PPP 3/3

Issued no. R. 5940 dt. 7-3-36

Sec A

TYPING SECTION 4 MAR 1936 GEN. & REV. SECTT.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 13 of 1935. [App. F. III-2

From,

The Pr. B'lore

No 11 Ses/35-36 dt 16.5.36 forwarding for sanction a bill for Rs 23-12 being his fee for the work done in Cr. Ap. 11/1935 in the file of Sessions Judge, B'lore

(Seeramma Sastri vs Mr. Shamanna)

1) The fee claimed by the Pr. is according to rule for the legal affairs of Govt. Mr. S. P. Shamanna has appeared for the Pr. in Case.

Subd for orders

ISD 20/5

2) Please enquire how & under what circ? Mr. S. P. Shamanna ~~was~~ appeared & conducted this Case instead of the Pr.

BSP 20/5

3) Put Subd accordingly

ISD 21/5

4) Issue

BMR 21/5/36

Issued no. P. 7201 dt 22-5-36.

From,

The Pr. B'lore.

No 11 Ses/35-36 dt 23.5.36 stating instructed Mr Shamanna & he was one engaged

Subd for orders vide rel" to Issue 12-15 Subd

TYPING SECTION, 21 MAY 1936 GENL & REV. SECTT.

Law File No. 193 5 General and Revenue Secretariat

12) The bill for Rs 23-12-0 may be passed in the circumstances reported. The fee claimed is in accordance with rules.

Govt Secy) MRL 28/5

Yes. But the question whether the P.P. can depute another lawyer without reference to Govt may be examined

Law 29/5

13) Draft MRL 29/5

20) Dft S.O. submd for appl

ISD 30/5

21) Issue MRL 30/5

TYPING 30 MAY 1936 GENL REC

To,

The Pr. D'One

Comptroller

Rev

Rev. Comm

S.O. no. P. 8032-35 dt 3.6.36, sanctioning fee.

22) Ref: para 15 supra

Under sec 4(t) Cr. P.C. Public Prosecutor means any person appointed under sec 492 and includes any person acting under the directions of a Public Prosecutor

But under sec 492(2) Cr.P.C one of it is only the Dist. Magt who can make arrangements in the absence of a public Prosecutor. Even rule 50 of the rules for the Conduct of the legal affrs of Govt prescribes the same procedure viz 66 therefore Cannot make his own arrangement without the permission of the D M

TSD 5/6.

22) What about rule 37(3) of the legal affairs Rules?

PPR 6/6

22) Even under rule 39(3) (2 rules) of the legal affairs rules, the PPr is required to make necessary arrangements in Communication with the D.M. and the Dist. Judge. This has not been done in the present case. If appd 4 PPr's attention will be invited to this rule for his future guidance

TSD 10/6 PS: rule 39(3) clearly contemplates absence of the PPr for short periods and not inability to attend to all cases posted for the day in several Courts. Sanction of Govt ~~for~~ is necessary ~~when~~ only when another advocate other than the PPr is to be appointed to appear for Govt and not when one of the juniors of the PPr appears for the PPr and under his instructions - Such appearance

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

by one advocate for another is very
usual and has not been taken
objection to till now The responsibility
so far as the Client is concerned is that
of the Advocate who has accepted the
brief. It is not I think possible for
the ~~Govt~~ Pr ~~Counsel~~ to make a
reference to the Principle or to Govt in
every case occasion on which he ~~an accused~~
~~of~~ has to ask his junior or another advocate to appear
for him in a Govt Case, on account
of his being engaged elsewhere whenever
however he claims ~~work~~ fees for work
done by another on his behalf, he
has to explain the circumstances
under which he could not do the work
himself. If this is insisted on, it would
prevent any abuse of this practice.

Genl Secy) PRL
10/6/36

25) I should like to speak about this to the
Asst Secy some Time PRL
12/6/36

26 Submitted in person
Mr. S. P. Shamanna I think is working with
Mr. G. S. Doraswamiayer as a junior.

27. Genl Secy) PRL
15/6
Will a/s kindly put up a note on the basis of
disc^n yesterday for ~~Govt~~ fees to member. G.A. may intimate
name of junior. When may fees with the
these cases be taken PRL 17/6.

Serial No.

28 The rules do not empower the Govt Advocate or the Public Prosecutor to entrust Govt cases to others except with the Sanction of Govt or in some cases the Dt mgte Concerned. In practice however especially in places like Bangalore and Mysore where there are a number of Courts, ~~the~~ neither the Govt advocate nor the PPr can by himself attend to all the Govt cases posted for the day in the several Courts Usually these advocates have juniors attached to their offices and on each day, the work for the day is distributed ~~between~~ amongst themselves, the senior taking the more important complicated cases to himself, the junior being asked to attend to others. For this distribution each day it is not possible to take the Consent or approval of the Client in this case, the Govt or the Officer Concerned. It would perhaps be sufficient if the G.A. and the PPrs are required to report to Govt and the Dt mgtes Concerned, the names of the juniors working with them and no objection need be taken to such juniors appearing for the Govt or the PPr as the case may be in Govt Cases, The responsibility for the

NOTE.—Contn. Sheet.

WD 03594—GPB—30,000—18-7-35

proper conduct of the case being that of the first law officer concerned.

29 - Whenever however the G.A. or the P.Ps feel it necessary to take the assistance of some other advocate than the juniors mentioned above but involving no extra cost to Govt they may be required to report the matter & obtain previous sanction from the proper authority ~~who is requested that no expenses be incurred in such cases by Govt~~.

30 It may also be perhaps impressed upon the P.A to P.Ps that they must as far as possible see that Govt cases are posted in such a manner that they are personally able to attend to them personally.

Gen Secy 19/6/26

31) The above note is subd for perusal. The suggestion made in it may be approved Some instruction in the matter is necessary as we do not know who are the lawyers working in the Govt Advocate and Public Prosecutors and it is undesirable that a Govt case should be entrusted by the law officers of Govt to any lawyer without

Serial No.

situation, at least, to-day.

Sec
17/7/36.
pne
17/7

17 JULY 1936

Finance Member)

32) I agree that para 30 need not be included now

S/D
17/7
Sec
20/7/36
draft prep
20/7

33) Subd. with a dft

24/7

Please put up a fair dft in Council

SECRET
vs 33) Fair dft subd for consideration

24/7/36

34) I have put up a draft Go, as may be approved

Secy) prep
27/7

27 JULY 1936

35) Please type out & put up

Sec
29/7

TYPING SECTION, 29 JUL 1936 GEN. & REV. SECTT.

NOTE.—Con[illegible] sheet.

WD 03594—GPB—30,000—18-7-35

Pol. Secy (Secy)
27/7/36

pne
29/7

Law File No. 13 of 193 . | General and Revenue Secretariat

Subd with a typed dft

Asst Gen Secy) me 30/7

Draft wa cc with ades in para 2 is subd for appl.

Dw 31/7

me 1/8

Asst Treasurer)

Jm 2/8

Dw 4/8

Issue me 4/8

(This has to go in the Legal Affairs Manual)

me

To

The G.A.

P.Ps Bungoma, Nyeri & Kisumu Drs

D.Cs and DCs

All Heads of Depts

Secretaries to Govt

G.O.No. 1009-70, dy 6-8-36 Appx 26 about G.As & P.Ps entrusting the Govt cases to others in cases

GENERAL SECRETARIAT 30 JULY 1936 913

OFFICE OF THE PRIME MINISTER (ATTORNEY GENERAL) 1 AUG. 1936

TYPING SECTION GEN. & REV. SECTT. 5. AUG. 1936

No. 808 -36

Enc.

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
BANGALORE DIVISION,
DISTRICT OFFICE BUILDINGS,

Bangalore, 14th February *193* 6

17

FROM

G.S. Doraswamy Iyer, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore Division, Bangalore.

To

The General Secretary to the Government of H.H.
the Maharaja of Mysore,



SIR,

RE: Criminal Appeal NO.II/34-35 on the file of of the Sessions Judge, Bangalore.
(Seetharama Sastri -VS- Mr. Sundram Mudaliar.)

With reference to your letter No.P.2255 dated I-IO-35 and in continuation of this office letter No.433/35-36 dated 4-II-35 forwarding the copy of judgment in the above case, I have the honour to ~~xxxx~~ state that a sum of Rs. I-I2-0 has been incurre for obtaining the copy of the judgment as per details given below I request that this sum may kindly be paid to me at an early date

Stamp on copy application.	0-I-0.
Produced 9 sheets of 0-3-0	I-II-0
	I-I2-0

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

G S Doraswamy Iyer
Public Prosecutor.

KHR.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No. P 5940/. Dated, Bangalore, 5th March 1936

From

The General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

The Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.808/35-36 dated 17th February 1936 soliciting sanction of Government for payment of a sum of Rs.1-12-0 said to have been incurred for obtaining a copy of the judgment in Cr. appeal 11/34-35 on the file of the Sessions Judge, Bangalore (Seetharama Sastri vs. Sundaram Mudaliar), I am to enquire why the amount now claimed was not included in the bill of fees for work done in the appeal.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

for General Secretary to Govt.

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section Law

File No.

Serial No.

No. P5940

To

The Hon. Mr. Bilse

Sir,

With reference to your letter no. 808/3536 d. 17-2-36 soliciting sanction of Govt for payment of a sum of R 1-12-0 said to have been incurred for obtaining a copy of the Judgt Cassation ~~was~~ Cr. Ap. 11/1935 on the file of the ~~Hon~~ Sessions Judge Bilse (Seethamma Sakki vs Sundaram Modali) I am directed to enquire why the amount now claimed may not be included in the bill of fees for work done in the appeal

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193

Date of issue 7.3.36

Signed by Mr. V. R. J.

List of enclosures.....

Copied by .....

Examined by.....

Put 3/3 I have en Sir for see

WD 03601—GPB—30,000—23-9-35

152 1

7/05 1936
P 8

No. 1143/35-36

Enc. One Bill

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
BANGALORE DIVISION,
DISTRICT OFFICE BUILDINGS,

Bangalore, 16th May *193* 6.

FROM G.S. Doraswamy Iyer, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore Division, Bangalore.

GENERAL SEC 18 MAY 1936

The General Secretary to the Government of H.H.
the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

SIR,

RE: Criminal Appeal No.II/34-35 on the file of the Sessions Judge, Bangalore.
(Seetharama Sastri -VS- Mr. Sundaram Mudaliar)

With reference to your letter No.P5940 dated 7-3-36, I have the honour to send herewith my bill of remuneration in the above case duly countersigned by the District Judge, Bangalore for sanction of Government. The cost of obtaining the ~~xxxx~~ certified copy of order has also been included in the bill and I request that sanction of Government may kindly be obtained for payment of the bill amount.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

G.S. Doraswamy Iyer
Public Prosecutor.

KHR.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No. P 7801

Dated, Bangalore, 22nd May *193*6

From

The *General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

To

The Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore.

Sir,

Cr.App.11/36-35 on the file of the Sessions Judge, Bangalore (Seetharamasastri vs Sundaram Mudaliar).

With reference to your letter No.1143/35-36 dated 16th May 1936 forwarding a bill for Rs.23-12-0 for work done in the above case, I am directed to enquire how and under what circumstances Mr.S.P.Shamanna appeared for you and conducted this case.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

for General Secretary to Government.

S.R.2

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Date of issue 22. 5. 36

Signed by Sd. N. R. [illegible]

List of enclosures.....

Copied by .....

Examined by.....

Section Law

File No.

Serial No.

No. P 7801/

To

The Govt. Pleader

Cr. Ap. 11/1936 on the file of the Sessions Judge, Bellary

(Seetharama Sastri vs Sundaram Modaliar)

Sir,

With reference to your letter no. 1143/3536 of 16.5.36 forwarding a bill for Rs. 25-12-0 being your fee for the work done in the above case, I am directed to enquire how and under what circumstances Mr. S P Shanmuga for yourself appeared & conducted this case ~~Advocate to appeared for you~~ for.

I am therefore to enquire how and under what Circumstances Mr. S.P. Shanmuga Conducted this Case.

WD 03601—GPB—30,000—23-9-35

Pl 21/5 I have [illegible] for you see [illegible]

7244(a)/35

No. 1195
35-36
Enc. .....

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
BANGALORE DIVISION,

DISTRICT OFFICE BUILDINGS,

Bangalore, 27th May *193* 6.

Law 13-35-27(a)

FROM

G.S. Doraswamy Iyer, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore Division, Bangalore.

To

The General Secretary to the Government of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

SIR,

I have the honour to acknowledge receipt of your letter No.P. 7801 of the 22nd instant. On the two dates on which the appeal in question was posted for hearing the following cases or Government were also posted.

Cases posted on II-3-1935.

District Court	CR.A.	I3/34-35
"	"	82/34-35
"	Ex.No.	33/34-35.
"	Mis.	68/33-34
"	"	I22/34-35
Addl. "	O.S.No.	20/33-34
" "	O.S.No.	I8/33-34.
	I.C.	21/23-24

Cases posted to 2-7-1935.

District Court	CR.A.	I32/34-35
"	"	I61/34-35
"	"	60/34-35
"	"	83/34-35
"	"	77/34-35
"	"	I22/34-35
"	"	I91/34-35
"	"	I35/34-35
"	"	I96/34-35.

As on one and the same day numerous cases of Government are posted it is not possible for me to attend personally to each one of them. I usually select the most complicated cases for my personal attention and arrange for the rest being attended to by other advocates who work with me and to whom I give instructions earlier in the day.

According to the practice although I take the responsibility in some cases some other advocate has to appear for me and under instructions.

Under Section

Under Section 4 (I) (t) of the Code of Criminal Procedure such an advocate is entitled to conduct the case for me for the section reads as follows:-

" Public Prosecutor " means any person appointed under Section 492, and includes any person acting under the ~~xxx~~ directions of a Public Prosecutor:

In the particular case in question appearing as I did for the respondent and the case being a very simple one from the legal - aspect and one which did not present any special complications in the appeal and being one which I had already argued and conducted once before, I entrusted it to Mr. S.P. Shamanna who acted under my instructions as I was otherwise engaged in other Government work.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Public Prosecutor.

KHR.

proceedings of the Government of [illegible]
the Maharaja of Mysore.

Order:-

Letter No. [illegible] dated 1st August 1936 from the Public Prosecutor, Bangalore, instructing him to appear and conduct the case finally noted case on behalf of the Government. -

Vide Secretary's articles published in "Varthakaran".

Note: Connect Correspondence ending with letter No. 1113/35-36 dated 16th [illegible] 1936 from the Public Prosecutor, Bangalore, forwarding for sanction a bill for Rs.[illegible] in full for the [illegible] in the above case.

- - - - - - - - -

Ref: P.8932-35 Laws 13-35-28

[illegible], Bangalore, for information.

3

[illegible] sanctioned. The rule has to be carried out in "Law Courts [illegible] Rules for Advocates etc.,".

M Seshadri
Assistant Secretary to Govt.
TSD

To
The Public Prosecutor, Bangalore, with the
Letter to Government.
The Registrar, High Court of Mysore.

" The Hon. Commissioner

G. R. S. App. F VI—G 2]

Abstd 6/6/36.

10

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary. No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Section New

File No. 13-35

Serial No. 28

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Date of issue 3/6/1936

Signed by M.N.J.R.

Cr. Ap. 11/1935 on the file of the Sessions Judge, Bellary in A. Solamanesastry vs Sundaramudali)

Read— Letter no. C.658 dt 6.8.34 to the Govt Bellary instructing him to appear and conduct the marginally noted Case on behalf of the respondent — re examination article published in Veerakesari

May this be—

(i) printed?

(ii) treated as a standing order?

(iii) published in the Gazette, English Part?

(iv) translated?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press?

(vi) published in the Gazette, Kannada Part?

~~Order thereon.— No~~

2. Correspondence ending with the letter no. 1143/35-36 dt 16-5-36 from the Govt. Bellary forwarding for sanction a bill for Rs 13-12-0 being his fee for the work done in the above Case.

order — HO P. 8032-33

Sanctioned the cost

has to be met out of funds

of Law and fees to advocates etc

Mr Gen Sec'y

TSD

30/5

Mr Chr. Store with

Comptroller

Req'z H.O.

Copys " Rev. Comm

" Rev. Bro.

List of Enclosure

Copied by

Examined by

WD 03602—GPB—22,500—12-7-25.

Pleas' 10/36

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF H.H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

——— 12

READ:-

Correspondence ending with letter No.1195 dated 27th May 1936 from the Public Prosecutor, Bangalore, explaining the circumstances under which he had to depute Mr.S.P.Shamanna, Advocate, Bangalore, to conduct ~~Government~~ Criminal Appeal 11 of 34-35 on the file of the Sessions Judge, Bangalore.

ORDER NO: P1009-70. / Law 13-35-38

Dated, Bangalore, the 8. 9 37

The Rules for the conduct of Legal Affairs of Government do not empower either the Government Advocate or ~~the~~ Public Prosecutors to entrust Government cases to others except with the sanction of Government and in some cases of the District Magistrates concerned. It is however represented that it is sometimes difficult ~~to~~ for the Government Advocate or the Public Prosecutor, as the case may be when there are a number of courts, and cases entrusted to them are posted in several of them on one day, to attend to all the cases in person. Juniors working with these advocates are asked in such ~~xxxxx~~ circumstances ~~asked~~ to attend to some of the Government cases, and it is stated that it is not possible to take the previous consent or approval of the Government or the Officer concerned to such arrangements.

Government consider that the difficulty brought to notice is real and direct that the Government Advocate or a Public Prosecutor

Prosecutor may depute a Junior working with him to appear for him in Government cases when the work to be attended to is merely or almost formal. It is however necessary for Government to have information of the arrangements likely to be made in such cases by the Government Advocate or the Public Prosecutor. They are therefore pleased to direct that the names of the Lawyers working with the Government Advocate as juniors and likely to be entrusted with such work be reported to Government and the names of those working similarly with the Public Prosecutors to Government as well as to the District Magistrates concerned. Though a Junior may appear for the Government Advocate or the Public Prosecutor as the case may be, in such cases, the responsibility for the proper conduct of the cases will be that of the Government Law Officers concerned.

Whenever the Government Advocate or the Public Prosecutor find it necessary to take the assistance of some advocate other than a Junior whose name is already ~~referred~~ on record with Government, the matter should be reported to Government or the officer authorised in this behalf/and previous sanction obtained.

~~I have etc.,~~

31/7/36

General Secretary to Govt.

Government Advocate 30/7
Public Prosecutor, Bangalore, Shimoga, Mysore
District Magistrates and D.C's.
Heads of Departments.
Secretaries to Government.

30/7

Government of his highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

G. O. No. P. 1009-70—Law 13-35-38, dated 6—8th August 1936.

Conduct of Government Cases.

Issues instructions regarding the entrusting of the —— to Advocates othe than the Government Law Officers.

READ—

Correspondence ending with letter No. 1195, dated 27th May 1936, from the Public Prosecutor Bangalore, explaining the circumstances under which he had to depute Mr. S. P. Shamanna Advocate, Bangalore, to conduct Criminal Appeal 11 of 1934-35 on the file of the Sessions Judge Bangalore.

ORDER No. P. 1009-70—LAW 13-35-38, DATED BANGALORE, THE 6—8TH AUGUST 1936.

The Rules for the conduct of Legal Affairs of Government do not empowe either the Government Advocate or a Public Prosecutor to entrust Governmen cases to others except with the sanction of Government and in some cases of the District Magistrates concerned. It is however represented that it is sometime difficult for the Government Advocate or the Public Prosecutor, as the case may be, when there are a number of courts, and cases entrusted to them are posted in several of them on one day, to attend to all the cases in person. Juniors working with these Advocates are asked in such circumstances to attend to some of the Government cases, and it is stated that it is not possible to take the previous consent or approval of the Government or the Officer concerned to such arrangements.

Government consider that the difficulty brought to notice is real and direct that the Government Advocate or a Public Prosecutor may depute a junior working with him to appear for him in Government cases when the work to be attended to is merely or almost formal. It is however necessary for Government to have information of the arrangements likely to be made in such cases by the Government Advocate or the Public Prosecutor. They are therefore pleased to direct that the names of the Lawyers working with the Government Advocate as juniors and likely to be entrusted with such work be reported to Government and the names of those working similarly with the Public Prosecutors to Government as well as to the District Magistrates concerned. Though a junior may appear for the Government Advocate or the Public Prosecutor, as the case may be, in such cases, the responsibility for the proper conduct of the cases will be that of the Government Law Officer concerned.

Whenever the Government Advocate or the Public Prosecutor finds it necessary to take the assistance of some advocate other than a junior whose name is already on record with Government, the matter should be reported to Government or the Officer authorised in this behalf and previous sanction obtained.

M. VENKATESA IYENGAR,
Secretary to Government,
General Department.

To—The Government Advocate.
The Public Prosecutors, Bangalore, Shimoga and Mysore Divisions.
The District Magistrates and Deputy Commissioners of Districts.
The Heads of Departments.
The Secretaries to Government.

Exd.—B. G. S.

WD 231—GPB—425—17-8-36